

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/849,648 | | 05/20/2004 | Marit Jagtoyen Mazzetti | 243.0512832 | 7764 |
| 26874 | 7590 | 06/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | BROWN T | ODD, LLC | | ALI, MOHA | MMAD M |
| | FTH STREE | ET | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CINCINN | NATI, OH | 45202 | 3744 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/03/2009 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| (1) |
|----------|
| \smile |

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/849,648 | MAZZETTI, MARIT JAGTOYEN | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Mohammad Ali | 3744 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failur | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M | ay 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)[| Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | 1 | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 May 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attach | tte) | | | | | | |
| Attachmen 1) Notice | u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/09/04</u> . | 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/849,648 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the cooling means, particulate filters, activated carbon filter beds, ion exchange resin filter beds for claims 1, 8, 10; "flow through capacitor" for claim 2, 11, "reverse osmosis" for claim 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

Art Unit: 3744

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 8 and 10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 1 and 17 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,581,375. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the further water treatment procedure by flow though capacitor, reverse osmosis, electrochemical cell are well known procedures for water treatment art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2-7, 9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,581,375 in view of the well known water treatment procedure of flow through capacitor, reverse osmosis, and electrochemical cell. It is obvious that a skilled individual of the field of water treatment is able to control the conductivity of water in the range of 10 to 80 micro S/cm.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,648

Art Unit: 3744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4834.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 25, 2005